

# **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

Chaired by Antony Schinckel, presented by Ron Barrelle, records by Stuart Allen

Meeting convened after regular advertising locally, in ferry and ferry shed, in local newsletter etc for previous two weeks.

Approximately 40 people attended

**Previous Surveys** were discussed, covering responses, demand, and local support. There were two previous surveys, in 2009 and 2010, and there was only one objection, (but the objector also wanted to buy a berth)

**Council Role** in providing disabled access was also explained

## **Current situation**

129 waterfronts, 61 jetties, 36 jetty prohibited

Council policy re jetty limits pretty rubbery

Apparent demand/interest from 40 +1- residents for jetty spot

## **Option 1 90 degree jetty straight out from the existing wharf-37 berths**

Long walk to ferry

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds Min visitor tie ups

Minimum support—no vote

## **Option 2A Angled Jetty to deal with NW fetch in heavy weather-37 berths**

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds

MORE noise for locals who ALREADY have noise from ramp, deliveries, garbage, ferry crowds

Need to get navigation speed limits-4/8km to river here to stop bow wash and associated noise & damage

This option could get mirror imaged or changed by consultant engineers

Most supported option at meeting (one abstention)

## **Option 2B Similar to Option 2A but shorter, 18 berths. Use with Options 3,4,5**

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds

Not thoroughly discussed due to lack of support for 3,4,5 options

## **Option 3 Small jetty off "Club Lane", 18 berths**

Probably need to extend wharf to deeper water Rock outcrop to east to avoid Noise

Need to get navigation speed limits-4/8km to river here to stop bow wash and associated noise & damage

Minimum support—no vote

## **Option 4 Small jetty off Bradleys Beach East, 18 berths**

Boats/jetty exposed to southerly winds

Reef may be considered "Unique" by council

Access is partly over sand--??strollers etc—(but current boats on running lines go thru mud)

Need to consider buggy access

Closer to Brooklyn = less fuel, pollution, time Noise

Minimum support—no vote

### **Option 5 Small jetty off Bradleys Beach West, 18 berths**

Probably need to extend wharf to deeper water

Boats/jetty exposed to southerly winds

Access is partly over sand--??strollers etc -(but current boats on running lines go thru mud)

Need to consider buggy access

Closer to Brooklyn = less fuel, pollution, time Cuts beach up, even though west end is private Noise

Minimum support—no vote

### **Other Options: Option 6 : Don't Build jetty**

Impact on Ferry and Taxi trade—Island needs to maintain and promote these services Visual impact

Flood damage risk

Concentrates noise at one spot

Concentrates TV interference (this may be soluble on a lxi basis at expense of householders)

61 existing jetties: simpler to share these for 35 extra spots and evenly distributed access

Could use pontoons with walkways to tie up boats, at lane, beach etc

### **Next steps**

1. Submit outcomes and Options to Council! Engineering.
2. Finalise Coop arrangements and memberships
3. Funding: finalise deposits, bank accounts etc
4. Timeframe: RB Estimated as follows,
  - Engineering 6 weeks,
  - Council report 4 weeks,
  - Council endorsement 6 weeks, (Mid Nov)
  - Funding approval 4 weeks,
  - Christmas 4 wks,
  - Tendering 8 wks, tender assessment 4 weeks (End March)
  - Construction 10 weeks,
  - Completion Mid June 2012.

**Meeting closed 12.15pm**

(RB Notes)

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Option 1 90 degree jetty straight out from the existing wharf-37 berths**

Long walk to ferry

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds Min visitor tie ups

Minimum support—no vote

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Option 2A Angled Jetty to deal with NW fetch in heavy weather-37 berths**

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds

MORE noise for locals who ALREADY have noise from ramp, deliveries, garbage, ferry crowds

Need to get navigation speed limits-4/8km to river here to stop bow wash and associated noise & damage

This option could get mirror imaged or changed by consultant engineers

Most supported option at meeting (one abstention)

### **Option 2B Similar to Option 2A but shorter, 18 berths. Use with Options 3,4,5**

Boats/jetty exposed to NW winds

Not thoroughly discussed due to lack of support for 3,4,5 options

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Option 3 Small jetty off "Club Lane", 18 berths**

Probably need to extend wharf to deeper water Rock outcrop to east to avoid Noise

Need to get navigation speed limits-4/8km to river here to stop bow wash and associated noise & damage

Minimum support—no vote

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Option 4 Small jetty off Bradleys Beach East, 18 berths**

Boats/jetty exposed to southerly winds

Reef may be considered "Unique" by council

Access is partly over sand--??strollers etc—(but current boats on running lines go thru mud)

Need to consider buggy access

Closer to Brooklyn = less fuel, pollution, time Noise

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Option 5 Small jetty off Bradleys Beach West, 18 berths**

Probably need to extend wharf to deeper water

Boats/jetty exposed to southerly winds

Access is partly over sand--??strollers etc -(but current boats on running lines go thru mud)

Need to consider buggy access

Closer to Brooklyn = less fuel, pollution, time Cuts beach up, even though west end is private Noise

Minimum support—no vote

## **Responses to Options at Jetty Meeting, 11am 31 July 2011 at the Island Hall**

### **Other Options: Option 6 :Don't Build jetty**

Impact on Ferry and Taxi trade—Island needs to maintain and promote these services Visual impact

Flood damage risk

Concentrates noise at one spot

Concentrates TV interference (this may be soluble on a lxi basis at expense of householders)

61 existing jetties: simpler to share these for 35 extra spots and evenly distributed access

Could use pontoons with walkways to tie up boats, at lane, beach etc

Minimum support—no vote